THE CLASSIFICATION OF EXTENSIONS OF $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$

CHUNRUI AI AND XINGJUN LIN

Abstract. In this paper, extensions of affine vertex operator algebra $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are classified by modular invariants.

arXiv:1612.07472v1 [math.QA] 22 Dec 2016

1. Introduction

One of important problems in the theory of vertex operator algebras is to classify rational vertex operator algebras, and there were many works on classifications. For instance, preunitary vertex operator algebras with central charge $c < 1$ were classified in [DZ], [M], [DLin]; rational vertex operator algebras with central charge $c = 1$ were partially classified in [DM1], [DJ1], [DJ2], [DJ3]; holomorphic vertex operator algebras with central charge 24 were partially classified in [LS].

It is well-known that vertex operator algebras are closely related to two-dimensional conformal field theory. One of important problems in two-dimensional conformal field theory is to classify modular invariant partition functions, and there were many works on the classification of modular invariant partition functions [CIZ1], [CIZ2], [Ka], [G1]. In language of vertex operator algebras, this is equivalent to classify modular invariants of rational vertex operator algebras [DLN]. It was also shown in [DLN] that extensions of rational vertex operator algebras give rise to modular invariants. Therefore, it is interesting to realize modular invariants by constructing extensions of vertex operator algebras explicitly and to classify extensions of rational vertex operator algebras.

In this paper, we consider the classification of extensions of affine vertex operator algebra $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The method is to classify extensions by modular invariants. This method is first proposed in [KL] in the framework of conformal nets, and was latter used in [DLin] to classify extensions of $L_{sl_2}(k,0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The classification of modular invariants of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ has been obtained in [G1], and plays an important role in this paper. It is shown in this paper that all the extensions of affine vertex operator algebra $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ can be realized by simple current extensions [DLM3] and conformal embeddings [K]. To complete the classification, the key point is to prove the uniqueness.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B69.

Key words and phrases. Vertex operator algebra; Extension; Modular invariant.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some basic facts about affine vertex operator algebras. In Section 3, we classify extensions of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.

2. Affine vertex operator algebras $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$

2.1. **Affine vertex operator algebras.** In this subsection, we recall some facts about vertex operator algebras associated to affine Lie algebras from [H], [FZ], [LL]. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote the simple roots, simple coroots and fundamental weights of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\{\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_n\}$, $\{\alpha_1^\vee,\cdots,\alpha_n^\vee\}$ and $\{\Lambda_1,\cdots,\Lambda_n\}$, respectively. We use $h^{(1)},\cdots,h^{(n)}\in\mathfrak{h}$ to denote the fundamental coweights of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.,

$$\alpha_i(h^{(j)})=\delta_{i,j}\ \text{ for } i,j=1,\cdots,n.$$

We shall also use $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\alpha_i$ to denote the highest root of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ be the normalized Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle\theta,\theta\rangle=2$. The corresponding affine Lie algebra is defined as $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]\oplus\mathbb{C}K$ with the commutation relations

$$[x(m),y(n)]=[x,y](m+n)+Km\langle x,y\rangle\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[\widehat{\mathfrak{g}},K]=0,$$

for any $x,y\in\mathfrak{g}$, $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$, where $x(m)=x\otimes t^m$.

For a complex number k, set

$$V_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)=\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t]\oplus\mathbb{C}K}^{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}\mathbb{C},$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is viewed as a $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t]\oplus\mathbb{C}K$-module such that $\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[t]$ acts as 0 and K acts as k. It was proved in [FZ] that $V_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ has a vertex operator algebra structure if $k\neq-h^\vee$, where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore, it is well-known that the vertex operator algebra $V_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$ has a unique maximal proper ideal $\mathcal{J}$ (see [K]). The quotient vertex operator algebra $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)=V_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)/\mathcal{J}$ is a simple vertex operator algebra and is strongly regular if $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ (see [FZ], [DLM1],[DM1]). It is also known that

$$\{L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,\lambda)|\lambda\in P_+^k\},$$

where P_+^k denotes the dominant weight with level k of $\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. [K]), is the complete list of nonisomorphic irreducible $L_{\mathfrak{g}}(k,0)$-modules (see [FZ], [LL]).

2.2. **Modular invariants of affine vertex operator algebra $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.** We first recall from [DLM2], [Z] some facts about modular invariance properties of vertex operator algebras. Let V be a strongly regular vertex operator algebra, $M^0,M^1,\cdots,M^p$ be all

the nonisomorphic irreducible V-modules. It was proved in [Z] that for any $0 \leq i \leq p$, M^i has the form

$$M^i = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M^i_{\lambda^i+n}$$

for some $\lambda^i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M^i_{\lambda^i} \neq 0$, and λ^i is called the *conformal weight* of M^i. Let $\mathbf{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} | \mathrm{im}\tau > 0\}$. For any homogeneous element $v \in V$, we define the *trace function* associated to M^i as follows:

$$Z_{M^i}(v,\tau) := \mathrm{tr}_{M^i} o(v) q^{L(0)-c/24} = q^{\lambda_i - c/24} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathrm{tr}_{M^i_{\lambda_i+n}} o(v) q^n,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\tau}$ and $o(v) = v_{\mathrm{wt}v-1}$ is the degree zero operator of v (cf. [Z]). It was proved in [DLM2] and [Z] that $Z_{M^i}(v,\tau)$ converges to a holomorphic function on the domain $|q| < 1$.

Recall that the full modular group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\mathbf{H}$ as follows:

$$\gamma : \tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \ \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ acts on the trace functions. The following result was obtained in [Z] (see also [DLM2]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let V be a strongly regular vertex operator algebra, $M^0, \cdots, M^p$ be all the nonisomorphic irreducible V-modules. Then the vector space spanned by*

$$Z_{M^0}(v,\tau), \cdots, Z_{M^p}(v,\tau)$$

admits a representation ϕ of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ and the transformation matrices are independent of the choice of $v \in V$.

Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We know that S, T are generators of $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$. A *modular invariant* of V is then defined to be a $(p+1) \times (p+1)$-matrix X satisfying the following conditions:
(P1) $X_{0,0} = 1$;
(P2) The entries of X are nonnegative integers;
(P3) $X\phi(S) = \phi(S)X$ and $X\phi(T) = \phi(T)X$.

It was shown in [DLN] that any *extension* U of V, i.e., V is a vertex operator subalgebra of U and V, U have the same conformal vector, gives rise to a modular invariant of V. More precisely, let U be an extension of V. Assume that U is strongly regular,

and let $N^0, \cdots, N^k$ be all the nonisomorphic irreducible U-modules. For $u, v \in U$, set

$$f_U(u, v, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} Z_{N^i}(u, \tau_1)\overline{Z_{N^i}(v, \tau_2)},$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbf{H}$. Since each irreducible U-module N^i is a direct sum of irreducible V-modules, there exists a matrix $X = (X_{i,j})$ such that $X_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for all i, j and that for any $u, v \in V$,

$$f_U(u, v, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{p} X_{i,j} Z_{M^i}(u, \tau_1)\overline{Z_{M^j}(v, \tau_2)}.$$

Moreover, by the following result which was proved in [DLN], the matrix $X = (X_{i,j})$ is uniquely determined.

Proposition 2.2. *Set* $\mathbf{Z}(u, \tau) = (Z_{M^0}(u, \tau), ..., Z_{M^p}(u, \tau))^T$. *If* $A = (a_{ij})$ *is a matrix such that for any* $u, v \in V$,

$$\mathbf{Z}(u, \tau_1)^T A\overline{\mathbf{Z}(v, \tau_2)} = 0,$$

then $A = 0$.

It was further shown in [DLN] that X is a modular invariant of V.

Theorem 2.3. *Let* V, U, X *be as above. Then* X *is a modular invariant of* V.

Furthermore, by the discussion above, we have the following simple observation.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* V, U, X *be as above. Suppose that there exist irreducible* V*-modules* M^i *and* M^j *such that* $X_{i,j} \neq 0$. *Then we have* $X_{i,i} \neq 0$ *and* $X_{j,j} \neq 0$.

We now recall from [G1] some facts about modular invariants of $L_{sl_3}(k, 0)$. We use ρ to denote $\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$, and (m, n) to denote the weight $\lambda = m\Lambda_1 + n\Lambda_2$, where Λ_1, Λ_2 are the fundamental weights of sl_3. Recall that the set of dominant weights with level k is equal to

$$P_+^k = \{m\Lambda_1 + n\Lambda_2 | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leqslant m, n, m + n \leqslant k\}.$$

For convenience, we shall use P^k to denote

$$P_+^k + \rho = \{m\Lambda_1 + n\Lambda_2 | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 < m, n, m + n < k + 3\}.$$

Define two maps $h : P^k \to P^k$ and $\sigma : P^k \to P^k$ as follows:

$$h(m, n) = (n, m), \quad \sigma(m, n) = (k + 3 - m - n, m). \tag{3.1}$$

Hence $\sigma^2(m, n) = (n, k + 3 - m - n)$.

Denote the trace function of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$-module $L_{sl_3}(k,\lambda)$ ($\lambda = m\Lambda_1 + n\Lambda_2 \in P_+^k$) by $Z^k_{\lambda+\rho}(u,\tau) = Z^k_{(m+1,n+1)}(u,\tau)$. Then for any modular invariant $X = (X_{\lambda,\mu})$ ($\lambda,\mu \in P^k$) of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, we will write it in the form

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu\in P^k} X_{\lambda,\mu} Z^k_\lambda(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_\mu(v,\tau_2)}.$$

It was shown in [G1] that

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu\in P^k} X_{\lambda,h(\mu)} Z^k_\lambda(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_\mu(v,\tau_2)}$$

also determines a modular invariant X^C of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, which is called the *conjugate modular invariant* of X. In particular, we have $(X^C)_{\lambda,\mu} = X_{\lambda,h(\mu)}$. The following result was proved in [G1] (see also [G2]).

Theorem 2.5. *Any modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ is equal to one of the following modular invariants or their conjugate modular invariants:*

any k	$\sum_{(m,n)\in P^k} \lvert Z^k_{(m,n)}\rvert^2$	A_k
$k\not\equiv 0 \pmod 3,\ k\geq 4$	$\sum_{(m,n)\in P^k} Z^k_{(m,n)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_{\sigma^{k(m-n)}(m,n)}(v,\tau_2)}$	D_k
$k\equiv 0 \pmod 3$	$\frac{1}{3}\sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in P^k\\ m\equiv n(\mathrm{mod}\ 3)}} \lvert Z^k_{(m,n)} + Z^k_{\sigma(m,n)} + Z^k_{\sigma^2(m,n)}\rvert^2$	D_k
$k=5$	$\lvert Z^5_{(1,1)} + Z^5_{(3,3)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^5_{(1,3)} + Z^5_{(4,3)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^5_{(3,1)} + Z^5_{(3,4)}\rvert^2$ $+\lvert Z^5_{(3,2)} + Z^5_{(1,6)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^5_{(4,1)} + Z^5_{(1,4)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^5_{(2,3)} + Z^5_{(6,1)}\rvert^2$	E_5
$k=9$	$\lvert Z^9_{(1,1)} + Z^9_{(1,10)} + Z^9_{(10,1)} + Z^9_{(5,5)} + Z^9_{(5,2)} + Z^9_{(2,5)}\rvert^2$ $+2\lvert Z^9_{(3,3)} + Z^9_{(3,6)} + Z^9_{(6,3)}\rvert^2$	$E_9^{(1)}$
$k=9$	$\lvert Z^9_{(1,1)} + Z^9_{(10,1)} + Z^9_{(1,10)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^9_{(3,3)} + Z^9_{(3,6)} + Z^9_{(6,3)}\rvert^2 + 2\lvert Z^9_{(4,4)}\rvert^2$ $+\lvert Z^9_{(1,4)} + Z^9_{(7,1)} + Z^9_{(4,7)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^9_{(4,1)} + Z^9_{(1,7)} + Z^9_{(7,4)}\rvert^2 + \lvert Z^9_{(5,5)} + Z^9_{(5,2)} + Z^9_{(2,5)}\rvert^2$ $+\Big(Z^9_{(2,2)}(u,\tau_1) + Z^9_{(2,8)}(u,\tau_1) + Z^9_{(8,2)}(u,\tau_1)\Big)\overline{Z^9_{(4,4)}(v,\tau_2)}$ $+Z^9_{(4,4)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{\Big(Z^9_{(2,2)}(v,\tau_2) + Z^9_{(2,8)}(v,\tau_2) + Z^9_{(8,2)}(v,\tau_2)\Big)}$	$E_9^{(2)}$
$k=21$	$\lvert Z^{21}_{(1,1)} + Z^{21}_{(5,5)} + Z^{21}_{(7,7)} + Z^{21}_{(11,11)} + Z^{21}_{(22,1)} + Z^{21}_{(1,22)}$ $+Z^{21}_{(14,5)} + Z^{21}_{(5,14)} + Z^{21}_{(11,2)} + Z^{21}_{(2,11)} + Z^{21}_{(10,7)} + Z^{21}_{(7,10)}\rvert^2$ $+\lvert Z^{21}_{(16,7)} + Z^{21}_{(7,16)} + Z^{21}_{(16,1)} + Z^{21}_{(1,16)} + Z^{21}_{(11,8)} + Z^{21}_{(8,11)}$ $+Z^{21}_{(11,5)} + Z^{21}_{(5,11)} + Z^{21}_{(8,5)} + Z^{21}_{(5,8)} + Z^{21}_{(7,1)} + Z^{21}_{(1,7)}\rvert^2$	E_{21}

where we have used $\lvert Z^k_{\lambda_1} + ... + Z^k_{\lambda_s}\rvert^2$ ($\lambda_1,...,\lambda_s \in P^k$) to denote

$$(Z^k_{\lambda_1}(u,\tau_1) + ... + Z^k_{\lambda_s}(u,\tau_1))\overline{(Z^k_{\lambda_1}(v,\tau_2) + ... + Z^k_{\lambda_s}(v,\tau_2))}.$$

By a direct calculation, we have the following:

Lemma 2.6. *The modular invariants of types D_3^C, D_6^C, $(E_9^{(1)})^C$, E_{21}^C are equal to the modular invariants of types D_3, D_6, $E_9^{(1)}$, E_{21}, respectively.*

We also need the following result.

Lemma 2.7. *The modular invariants E_5^C, $(E_9^{(2)})^C$ and $E_9^{(2)}$ cannot be realized by extensions of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.*

Proof: By a direct calculation, the modular invariants of types E_5^C, $(E_9^{(2)})^C$ are equal to

$$|Z^5_{(1,1)}+Z^5_{(3,3)}|^2+|Z^5_{(4,1)}+Z^5_{(1,4)}|^2+(Z^5_{(1,3)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^5_{(4,3)}(u,\tau_1))\overline{(Z^5_{(3,1)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^5_{(3,4)}(v,\tau_2))}$$
$$+(Z^5_{(3,2)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^5_{(1,6)}(u,\tau_1))\overline{(Z^5_{(2,3)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^5_{(6,1)}(v,\tau_2))}+(Z^5_{(3,1)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^5_{(3,4)}(u,\tau_1))\overline{(Z^5_{(1,3)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^5_{(4,3)}(v,\tau_2))}$$
$$+(Z^5_{(2,3)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^5_{(6,1)}(u,\tau_1))\overline{(Z^5_{(3,2)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^5_{(1,6)}(v,\tau_2))},$$

$$|Z^9_{(1,1)}+Z^9_{(10,1)}+Z^9_{(1,10)}|^2+|Z^9_{(3,3)}+Z^9_{(3,6)}+Z^9_{(6,3)}|^2+2|Z^9_{(4,4)}|^2+|Z^9_{(5,5)}+Z^9_{(5,2)}+Z^9_{(2,5)}|^2$$
$$+\left(Z^9_{(2,2)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(2,8)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(8,2)}(u,\tau_1)\right)\overline{Z^9_{(4,4)}(v,\tau_2)}+Z^9_{(4,4)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{\left(Z^9_{(2,2)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(2,8)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(8,2)}(v,\tau_2)\right)}$$
$$+\left(Z^9_{(1,4)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(7,1)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(4,7)}(u,\tau_1)\right)\overline{\left(Z^9_{(4,1)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(1,7)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(7,4)}(v,\tau_2)\right)}$$
$$+\left(Z^9_{(4,1)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(1,7)}(u,\tau_1)+Z^9_{(7,4)}(u,\tau_1)\right)\overline{\left(Z^9_{(1,4)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(7,1)}(v,\tau_2)+Z^9_{(4,7)}(v,\tau_2)\right)},$$

respectively. Since the modular invariant of type E_5^C contains $Z^5_{(1,3)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^5_{(3,1)}(v,\tau_2)}$, but does not contain the term $|Z^5_{(1,3)}|^2$, it follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 that the modular invariant of type E_5^C cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$. Similarly, we can prove that the modular invariants of types $E_9^{(2)}$, $(E_9^{(2)})^C$ cannot be realized by extensions of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$. □

3. CLASSIFICATION OF EXTENSIONS OF $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$

3.1. **Extensions of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type D_k.** In this subsection we shall show that if k is a positive integer such that $k \equiv 0 \ (mod\ 3)$, then there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type D_k. By taking $V = L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $L = \mathbb{Z}h^{(1)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}h^{(2)}$, where $h^{(1)}$, $h^{(2)}$ are the fundamental coweights of sl_3, we have the following result which was proved in Example 5.11 of [DLM3] and Proposition 3.8, Corollary 3.21 of [Li].

Theorem 3.1. *Let k be a positive integer such that $k \equiv 0 \ (mod\ 3)$. Then there exists a vertex operator algebra structure on $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ such that $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.*

Note that $L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1)$, $L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ are simple current $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$-modules (cf. [DLM3]). By Proposition 5.3 of [DM1], we have:

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a unique vertex operator algebra structure on $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ such that $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.*

Moreover, by Proposition 5.2 of [ABD] and Theorem 3.4 of [HKL], we know that $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ is strongly regular. Hence, by Theorem 2.3, $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ gives rise to a modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let k be a positive integer such that $k \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Then the modular invariant corresponding to $L_{sl_3}(k,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ is equal to the the modular invariant of type D_k:*

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in P^k \\ m\equiv n \ (mod\ 3)}} |Z^k_{(m,n)} + Z^k_{\sigma(m,n)} + Z^k_{\sigma^2(m,n)}|^2.$$

Proof: By Lemma 2.7, the modular invariant corresponding to $L_{sl_3}(k,0)\oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_1)\oplus L_{sl_3}(k,k\Lambda_2)$ must be equal to the modular invariant of type A^C_k, D_k or D^C_k. Note that the modular invariant of type A^C_k is equal to

$$\sum_{(m,n)\in P^k} Z^k_{(m,n)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_{(n,m)}(v,\tau_2)}.$$

It follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 that the modular invariant of type A^C_k cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.

We next prove that if $k \geq 9$, then the modular invariant of type D^C_k cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. Note that the modular invariant of type D^C_k is equal to

$$\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{(m,n)\in P^k \\ m\equiv n \ (\mathrm{mod}\ 3)}} \Big(Z^k_{(m,n)}(u,\tau_1) + Z^k_{\sigma(m,n)}(u,\tau_1) + Z^k_{\sigma^2(m,n)}(u,\tau_1)\Big) \cdot \overline{\Big(Z^k_{h(m,n)}(v,\tau_2) + Z^k_{h\sigma(m,n)}(v,\tau_2) + Z^k_{h\sigma^2(m,n)}(v,\tau_2)\Big)}.$$

By the definition of the maps h and σ, we have $h(m,n) = (n,m)$, $h\sigma(m,n) = (m, k+3-m-n)$ and $h\sigma^2(m,n) = (k+3-m-n, n)$. If $k \geq 9$, then we have $(m,n) = (1,4) \in P^k$ and satisfies the property $m \equiv n \ (mod\ 3)$, $k+3-m-n > 4$. Hence, if $k \geq 9$, we then know that the modular invariant of type D^C_k contains the term $Z^k_{(1,4)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_{(4,1)}(v,\tau_2)}$, but does not contain the term $|Z^k_{(1,4)}|^2$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that the modular invariant of type D^C_k cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. This forces that the desired modular invariant is equal to the modular invariant of type D_k. The proof is complete. □

3.2. **Extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type E_5.** In this subsection we shall show that there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ which realizes the modular invariant of type E_5. First, it is well-known that there exists a conformal embedding $L_{sl_3}(5,0) \subseteq L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ [SW]. Moreover, we have the following:

Theorem 3.4. *The affine vertex operator algebra $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$. Furthermore, $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ viewed as an $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$-module has the following decomposition*

$$L_{sl_6}(1,0) \cong L_{sl_3}(5,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(5, 2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2).$$

Proof: Note that $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ and $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ have the same cental charge [SW]. It follows from Propositions 11.12, 12.12 of [K] that $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ and $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ have the same Virasoro vector. Hence, $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$.

We next determine the decomposition of $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$. Since $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ is rational, $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ viewed as an $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$-module is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$-modules. Recall that the conformal weight of $L_{sl_3}(5,\lambda)$ is equal to $\frac{\langle\lambda,\lambda+2\Lambda_1+2\Lambda_2\rangle}{16}$ (see [FZ]). By a direct calculation, if M is an irreducible $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$-module with integral conformal weights, then we have M is isomorphic to $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ or $L_{sl_3}(5, 2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2)$. It follows that

$$L_{sl_6}(1,0) \cong L_{sl_3}(5,0) \oplus mL_{sl_3}(5, 2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2),$$

for some positive integer m. Note that $\dim L_{sl_3}(2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2) = 27$. This implies that

$$L_{sl_6}(1,0) \cong L_{sl_3}(5,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(5, 2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2),$$

as desired. □

Since $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ is strongly regular, it gives rise to a modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$.

Lemma 3.5. *The modular invariant corresponding to $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ is equal to the modular invariant of type E_5:*

$$\begin{aligned}&|Z^5_{(1,1)} + Z^5_{(3,3)}|^2 + |Z^5_{(1,3)} + Z^5_{(4,3)}|^2 + |Z^5_{(3,1)} + Z^5_{(3,4)}|^2\\ &+ |Z^5_{(3,2)} + Z^5_{(1,6)}|^2 + |Z^5_{(4,1)} + Z^5_{(1,4)}|^2 + |Z^5_{(2,3)} + Z^5_{(6,1)}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Proof: By Lemmas 2.7, 3.3, we know that the modular invariant corresponding to $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$ must be equal to the modular invariant of type E_5, D_k ($k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \geqq 4$) or D^C_k ($k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \geq 4$). Note that the modular invariant of type D^C_k ($k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \geqq 4$) is equal to

$$\sum_{(m,n)\in P^k} Z^k_{(m,n)}(u,\tau_1)\overline{Z^k_{h\sigma^{k(m-n)}(m,n)}(v,\tau_2)}.$$

If $k \geq 4$, we then have $(m,n) = (1,2) \in P^k$ and satisfies the property $k+3-m-n \geq 4$. It follows immediately from Lemma 2.4 that the modular invariant of type D^C_k ($k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \geqq 4$) cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. Similarly, we can prove that the modular invariant of type D_k ($k \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, $k \geqq 4$) cannot be realized by extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. This forces that the desired modular invariant is equal to the modular invariant of type E_5. The proof is complete. □

We next show that there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type E_5.

Theorem 3.6. *Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ such that U is strongly regular and that U viewed as a module of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ has the following decomposition*

$$U \cong L_{sl_3}(5,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(5, 2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2).$$

Then U is isomorphic to $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$.

Proof: Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(5,0)$ satisfying the assumption. For any $u \in U$, denote the vertex operator by $Y(u,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} u_n z^{-n-1}$. Then we know that U is generated by U_1 and that $\dim U_1 = 35$. Moreover, U_1 equipped with the bracket $[,]$, which is defined by $[u,v] = u_0 v$ for any $u, v \in U_1$, is a reductive Lie algebra [DM1]. It follows from Corollary 5.9 in [DM2] that $U \cong L_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(k_1,0) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\mathfrak{g}_s}(k_s,0)$ for some simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{g}_s$ and positive integers $k_1, \cdots, k_s$. We next show that $s = 1$, that is, U_1 is a simple Lie algebra. Otherwise, note that $\mathfrak{g}_s$ is a proper ideal of U_1 such that $[\mathfrak{g}_s, \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{s-1}] = 0$. Note also that, by assumption, $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$ is a module of sl_3, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_s$ viewed as an sl_3-module is isomorphic to a proper submodule of $sl_3 \oplus L_{sl_3}(2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2)$. However, note that for any proper sl_3-submodule N of $sl_3 \oplus L_{sl_3}(2\Lambda_1 + 2\Lambda_2)$, we have $[sl_3, N] \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Hence, we have $s = 1$. Since $\dim U_1 = 35$, it follows that $U_1 \cong sl_6$. This implies that $U \cong L_{sl_6}(1,0)$. The proof is complete. □

3.3. **Extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type $E_9^{(1)}$.** In this subsection we shall show that there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type $E_9^{(1)}$. Consider the affine vertex operator algebra $L_{E_6}(1,0)$. It was shown in [KS] that $\widehat{E_6}$ has a conformal subalgebra $\widehat{sl_3}$ and that $L_{E_6}(1,0)$ viewed a module of $\widehat{sl_3}$ has the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E_6}(1,0) \cong & L_{sl_3}(9,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 9\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 9\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, \Lambda_1 + 4\Lambda_2) \\ & \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 4\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 4\Lambda_1 + 4\Lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have:

Theorem 3.7. *The affine vertex operator algebra $L_{E_6}(1,0)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$. Moreover, $L_{E_6}(1,0)$ viewed as a module of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ has the following decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E_6}(1,0) \cong & L_{sl_3}(9,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 9\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 9\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, \Lambda_1 + 4\Lambda_2) \\ & \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 4\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(9, 4\Lambda_1 + 4\Lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $L_{E_6}(1,0)$ is strongly regular, it gives rise to a modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$. By Lemmas 2.6, 2.7 and Theorem 3.2, this modular invariant must be equal to the modular invariant of type $E_9^{(1)}$:

$$|Z^9_{(1,1)}+Z^9_{(1,10)}+Z^9_{(10,1)}+Z^9_{(5,5)}+Z^9_{(5,2)}+Z^9_{(2,5)}|^2+2|Z^9_{(3,3)}+Z^9_{(3,6)}+Z^9_{(6,3)}|^2.$$

We next show that there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type $E_9^{(1)}$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ such that U is strongly regular and that U viewed as a module of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ has the following decomposition*

$$\begin{aligned} U \cong & L_{sl_3}(9,0)\oplus L_{sl_3}(9,9\Lambda_2)\oplus L_{sl_3}(9,9\Lambda_1)\oplus L_{sl_3}(9,\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2)\\ & \oplus L_{sl_3}(9,4\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2)\oplus L_{sl_3}(9,4\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then U is isomorphic to $L_{E_6}(1,0)$.

Proof: Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ satisfying the assumption. For any $u\in U$, denote the vertex operator by $Y(u,z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}u_nz^{-n-1}$. Then we know that U_1 equipped with the bracket $[,]$, which is defined by $[u,v]=u_0v$ for any $u,v\in U_1$, is a reductive Lie algebra [DM1]. In particular, $U_1\cong\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{g}_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathfrak{g}_s$ for some simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_1,\cdots,\mathfrak{g}_s$ and an abelian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$. We first prove that $\mathfrak{h}=0$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{h}$ is an sl_3-submodule of U_1. This implies that $\mathfrak{h}$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $L_{sl_3}(\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2)\oplus L_{sl_3}(4\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2)$. However, for any sl_3-submodule N of $L_{sl_3}(\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2)\oplus L_{sl_3}(4\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2)$, we have $[sl_3,N]\neq 0$. This is a contradiction. By the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we can also prove that $s=1$. In particular, U_1 is a simple Lie algebra with dimension 78. Hence U_1 is isomorphic to $B_6, C_6,$ or E_6.

We now let $\tilde{U}$ be the vertex operator subalgebra of U generated by U_1. By Corollary 4.3 of [DM2], we know that $\tilde{U}\cong L_{\mathfrak{g}_1}(k_1,0)$ for some positive integer k_1. We next show that the Virasoro vector of $\tilde{U}$ is equal to that of $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$. Otherwise, denote the Virasoro vectors of $\tilde{U}$, $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ by ω', ω, respectively, then we have $0\neq\omega''=\omega'-\omega$. Moreover, it is known that $[\omega''_m,\omega_n]$ for any $m,n\in\mathbb{Z}$ (see Proposition 12.10 of [K]). We then have $\omega'_1\omega=\omega''_1\omega+\omega_1\omega=2\omega$ and $\omega'_2\omega=\omega''_2\omega+\omega_2\omega=0$. Therefore, we know that $C_{\tilde{U}}(L_{sl_3}(9,0))=\{u\in\tilde{U}|\omega_0u=0\}$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of $\tilde{U}$ and the Virasoro vector of $C_{\tilde{U}}(L_{sl_3}(9,0))$ is ω'' (see [FZ], [LL]). In particular, $C_{\tilde{U}}(L_{sl_3}(9,0))$ is infinite dimensional. As a result, we know that $\tilde{U}$ viewed as an $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$-module is a direct sum of infinitely many irreducible $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$-module (see (12.12.3) of [K]). However, since $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$ is strongly regular, $\tilde{U}$ viewed as an $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$-submodule of U is a direct sum of finitely many irreducible $L_{sl_3}(9,0)$-modules. This is a contradiction. Thus, the central charge of $\tilde{U}$ should be equal to 6. By a direct calculation, we know that the

central charges of $L_{B_6}(k_1,0)$ and $L_{C_6}(k_1,0)$ cannot be equal to 6. Therefore, $\tilde{U}$ must be isomorphic to $L_{E_6}(k_1,0)$. By a direct calculation, we get $k_1 = 1$. Thus, $\tilde{U} \cong L_{E_6}(1,0)$. This implies that $U = \tilde{U} \cong L_{E_6}(1,0)$. The proof is complete. □

3.4. **Extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type E_{21}.** In this subsection we shall show that there exists a unique extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ corresponding to the modular invariant of type E_{21}. Consider the affine vertex operator algebra $L_{E_7}(1,0)$. It was shown in [KS] that $\widehat{E_7}$ has a conformal subalgebra $\widehat{sl_3}$ and that $L_{E_7}(1,0)$ viewed a module of $\widehat{sl_3}$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} &L_{sl_3}(21,0) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,21\Lambda_1) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,21\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,\Lambda_1+10\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,10\Lambda_1+\Lambda_2) \\ &\oplus L_{sl_3}(21,10\Lambda_1+10\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,4\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,6\Lambda_1+6\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,13\Lambda_1+4\Lambda_2) \\ &\oplus L_{sl_3}(21,9\Lambda_1+6\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,4\Lambda_1+13\Lambda_2) \oplus L_{sl_3}(21,6\Lambda_1+9\Lambda_2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Hence, by the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.4, we know that $L_{E_7}(1,0)$ is an extension of $L_{sl_3}(21,0)$ and strongly regular. Therefore, $L_{E_7}(1,0)$ gives rise to a modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(21,0)$. By Lemma 2.6 and Theorem 3.2, we know that this modular invariant must be equal to the modular invariant of type E_{21}. Moreover, we have the following:

Theorem 3.9. *Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(21,0)$ such that U is strongly regular and U viewed as a module of $L_{sl_3}(21,0)$ has the decomposition (4.1). Then U is isomorphic to $L_{E_7}(1,0)$.*

Proof: Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(21,0)$ satisfying the assumption. By the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we know that U_1 is a simple algebra with dimension 133. It follows that U_1 is isomorphic to E_7. Let $\tilde{U}$ be the vertex operator subalgebra of U generated by U_1. Then we know that $\tilde{U} \cong L_{E_7}(k,0)$ for some positive integer k (see Corollary 4.3 of [DM2]). By the similar argument in the proof of Theorem 3.8, we can prove that $\tilde{U}$ and $L_{E_7}(k,0)$ have the same Virasoro vector. In particular, the central charge of $\tilde{U}$ is equal to 7. By a direct calculation, we have $k = 1$, that is, $\tilde{U} \cong L_{E_7}(1,0)$. Hence, U is isomorphic to $L_{E_7}(1,0)$. The proof is complete. □

3.5. **Classification of extensions of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$.** We are now ready to prove the main result in this paper.

Theorem 3.10. *Let U be an extension of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$ such that U is strongly regular. Then U is isomorphic to $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$, $L_{E_6}(1,0)$, $L_{E_7}(1,0)$ or the vertex operator algebra in Theorem 3.1.*

Proof: Let U be a vertex operator algebra satisfying the assumption. By Theorem 2.3, U gives rise to a modular invariant of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. By Lemma 2.7 and the proof of Lemmas 3.3, 3.5, we know that the modular invariants of types A_k^C, D_k^C $(k \neq 3,6)$, E_5^C, $(E_9^{(2)})^C$ and D_k $(k \not\equiv 0\ (mod\ 3),\ k \geqq 4)$, $E_9^{(2)}$ cannot be realized by extensions of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$. This implies that the modular invariant corresponding to U is equal to the modular invariant of type A_k, D_k $(k \equiv 0\ (mod\ 3))$, E_5, $E_9^{(1)}$ or E_{21}. Hence, by Theorems 3.2, 3.6, 3.8 and 3.9, U is isomorphic to $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $L_{sl_6}(1,0)$, $L_{E_6}(1,0)$, $L_{E_7}(1,0)$ or the vertex operator algebra in Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.11. *It is shown in Lemmas 2.7, 3.3, 3.5, that there exist modular invariants of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, cannot be realized by extensions of vertex operator algebras. However, it was shown in* [EP] *that all the modular invariants of $L_{sl_3}(k,0)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, can be realized by subfactors. Since subfactors are also closely related to conformal field theory, it is interesting to realize the remain modular invariants in the framework of vertex operator algebras.*

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

[ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, regularity and C_2-cofiniteness, *Trans. AMS* **356** (2004), 3391-3402.

[CIZ1] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, Modular invariant partition function in two dimensions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B280** (1987), 445-464.

[CIZ2] A. Cappelli, C. Itzykson and J. Zuber, The A-D-E classification of minimal and $A_1^{(1)}$ conformal invariant theories, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **113** (1987), 1-26.

[DJ1] C. Dong and C. Jiang, A characterization of vertex operator algebras $V_{Z\alpha}^+$: I, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **709** (2015), 51-79.

[DJ2] C. Dong and C. Jiang, A characterization of vertex operator algebras $V_{Z\alpha}^+$: II, *Adv. Math.* **247** (2013), 41-70.

[DJ3] C. Dong and C. Jiang, A characterization of the vertex operator algebra V_2^4, in: Conformal Field Theory, Automorphic Forms and Related Topics (Heidelberg, 2011), 55-74, *Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences* **8**, Springer, 2014.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.***132** (1997), 148-166.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized moonshine, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **214** (2000), 1-56.

[DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Simple current and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996), 671-707.

[DLin] C. Dong and X. Lin, The extensions of $L_{sl_2}(k,0)$ and preunitary vertex operator algebras with central charges $c < 1$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **340** (2015), 613-637.

[DLN] C. Dong, X. Lin and S. Ng, Congruence property in conformal field theory, *Algebra Number Theory* **9** (2015), 2121-2166.

[DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **56** (2004), 2989-3008.

[DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Integrability of C_2-cofinite vertex operator algebra, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2006** (2006), Article ID 80468, 15 pages. **141** (2006), 67-80.

[DZ] C. Dong and W. Zhang, On classification of rational vertex operator algebras with central charges less than 1, *J. Algebra* **320** (2008), 86-93.

[EP] D. Evans and M. Pugh, $SU(3)$-Goodman-de la Harpe-Jones subfactors and the realization of $SU(3)$ modular invariants, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **21** (2009), 877-928.

[FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebra, *Duke. Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[G1] T. Gannon, The classification of affine $SU(3)$ moular invariant partion functions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **161** (1994), 233-263.

[G2] T. Gannon, The classification of $SU(3)$ modular invariants revisited, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincar Phys. Thor.* **65** (1996), 15-55.

[H] J. Humphrey, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **9**, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[HKL] Y. Huang, A. Kirillov and J. Lepowsky, Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015), 1143-1159.

[K] V. Kac, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Third edition. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,* 1990.

[KS] V. Kac and M. Niculescu-Sanielevici, Decomposition of representations of exceptional affine algebras with respect to conformal subalgebras, *Phys. Rev. D* **37** (1988), 2231-2237.

[Ka] A. Kato, Classification of modular invariant partition functions in two dimentions, *Modern Phys. Lett.* **A2** (1987), 585-600.

[KL] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo, Classification of local conformal nets: case $c < 1$, *Ann. Math.* **160** (2004), 493-522.

[LS] C. Lam and H. Shimakura, Classification of holomorphic framed vertex operator algebras of central charge 24, *Amer. J. Math.* **137** (2015), 111-137.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations, *Progress in Math.* Vol **227**, 2004.

[Li] H. Li, Certain extensions of vertex operator algebras of affine type, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001), 653-696.

[M] G. Mason, Lattice subalgebra of strongly regular vertex operator algebras, in: Conformal Field Theory, Automorphic Forms and Related Topics (Heidelberg, 2011), 31-53, *Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences* **8**, Springer, 2014.

[SW] A. Schellekens and N. Warner, Conformal subalgebras of Kac-Moody algebras, *Phys. Rev. D* **34** (1986), 3092-3096.

[Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. AMS* **9** (1996), 237-302.

Chunrui Ai, School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University, Henan 450001, China

E-mail address: aicr@zzu.edu.cn

Xingjun Lin, Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

E-mail address: linxingjun88@126.com